

Volume 64 Issue 2 December 2013 Pages 125-134 International Scientific Journal published monthly by the World Academy of Materials and Manufacturing Engineering

# The method of evaluation of the abilities of the lining soft materials to relieve the mucous membran

# G. Chladek\*, J. Żmudzki, P. Malara

Institute of Engineering Materials and Biomaterials, Silesian University of Technology, ul. Konarskiego 18a, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland \* Corresponding e-mail address: chladek@interia.pl

Received 19.10.2013; published in revised form 01.12.2013

#### ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** The presentation of a simple method of evaluation of the effectiveness to relieve the mucous membrane under thednture through soft lining materials, which is based on the analysis of creep curves and energy dissipated by the samples during the cyclic compression.

**Design/methodology/approach:** On the testing machine there were conducted studies of time characteristics and there was determined the energy dissipated by the samples during the cyclic compression. The results were subjected to the analysis of variation ANOVA ( $\alpha$ =0.05) with the Newman-Keuls post-hoc test.

**Findings:** The presented method allowed to conduct the evaluation of abilities to relieve the mucous membrane of soft lining materials significantly different in hardness. The studies showed that the softer materials characterised with a greater ability to dissipate energy, nevertheless, after taking the load off, the return to the original form in case of materials with the lowest hardness lasted much longer. The samples of softer materials with large values of stress underwent much greater stiffening,

**Research limitations/implications:** Limitations not allow the full translation of the obtained results into the expected results of clinical trials. The demonstrated relations between the behaviour of the samples and the hardness of materials are proper for the tested materials, but not necessarily for other materials of a similar hardness.

**Practical implications:** The presented methodology of the research is even closer to the expected clinical reality, when the alveolar ridge is more uniform and flat, but in order to obtain an even fuller image of the situation in case of uneven or sharp alveolar ridges, one should conduct additional tests with the method consisting of the load of the samples with a properly shaped penetrator.

**Originality/value:** The advantages of the presented methods are: the possibility for a quantitative use of the comparison of energy dissipated by the samples and the estimation of the material reaction while maintaining the possibility to use the tests of variable values of stresses, what enables an easy association of the obtained results with the values of stresses, which cause pain sensations in the mucous.

Keywords: Soft lining; Efficiency; Retention; Denture; Mucous membrane

## Reference to this paper should be given in the following way:

G. Chladek, J. Żmudzki, P. Malara, The method of evaluation of the abilities of the lining soft materials to relieve the mucous membran, Archives of Materials Science and Engineering 64/2 (2013) 125-134.

#### PROPERTIES

## **1. Introduction**

Materials to perform the permanent denture soft lining are intended for use in the oral cavity of the patients through the period exceeding 4 weeks. They are primarily recommended to all patients suffering from the total edentulism for example: when mucosa show a low tolerance for the load, in causes of pain sensations, injuries of mucosas, with immediate dentures, when dentures show poor retention and also to the reline post-operative obturators [1]-[6]. The aim of performing soft linings is the reduction of mechanical stresses transmitted to the mucosa and by the hard denture plate. Studies have proved the beneficial influence of the use of soft lining materials on the quality of life experienced by the dentures wearers [7]-[7]. Currently used materials are mostly silicone rubber, which characterise with the relatively good durability in oral cavity with a significant level of patient satisfaction [9]-[11]. However the basic problem connected with the use of these materials is their low resistance to the colonisation with microorganisms (mainly Candida albicans) [12]-[15].

The effectiveness of the long-time lining materials next to their traditionally tested functional properties, such as sorption, solubility, bond strength and hardness is mostly decided by their viscoelastic properties, which play a crucial role, because they allow the scattering of a significant part of energy transmitted to the prosthesis surface during chewing. These properties are usually tested with dynamic methods [17]-[20] or less sophisticated methods to achieve the results oriented towards the translation into the practical use with methods consisting of the progressive test with the time flow of the penetrator recess in the material during the load and unload of the samples [21]-[22]. In the paper there is presented a simple method of the evaluation of the relief of the mucous membrane found under the denture plate with soft lining materials, which is based on the analysis of the time characteristics and energy dissipated by the samples during the cyclic compression.

## 2. Materials and experimental procedure

Test samples were made of the material Ufi Gel SC (Voco, Germany) and experimental materials with the nanosilver concentration from 10, 20, 40, 80, 120 and 200 ppm obtained based on the material Ufi Gel SC described in the previous papers [15]-[16]. These materials created a range in terms of hardness, what is presented in Tab. 1. Hardness in the popular opinion decides about the comfort of use of the lined denture (softer materials are more comfortable).

Samples for all tests had the diameter of 15mm and thickness of 2.25 mm andwere die cut from cross-linked plaques with the dimensions of  $60 \times 60 \times 2.25$  mm. One plate was used to cut no more than 1 sample for one type of test (in total there were made 175 samples). Tests were accomplished on a universal test machine Zwick. Before each attempt, the stamps and samples were applied with a thin layer of olive oil to eliminate the sticking of the leading surface samples to the surface of stamps.

Analysis of time characteristics was conducted during the loading and unloading of samples. Samples were immediately

loaded (one axis compression). There was applied the engineering stress of 0.1; 0.2; 0.4 and 0.6 MPa, referred to [21]:

$$\sigma_u = \frac{F}{A_0} \tag{1}$$

where  $A_0$  - the initial cross-sectional area of the sample.

The applied stress values correspond the loads found under the denture during the use, while the values 0.4-0.6 MPa correspond the stresses causing the local pain sensations [23]-[27]. The load of the samples was maintained for 120 s, recording the changes of the strain. After 120 s from the moment of the performance of the full load, the samples were unloaded immediately, leaving the residual load of 0.5 N and the changes of the strain were recorded for the next 120s. The analysis of results was performed based on the selected points of characteristics strain - time and the factors determining the size of strain changes over time. For the loaded samples the strain value was analysed at the moment of the performance of the maximal sample load ( $\varepsilon_0$ ), the factor describing the growth of the strain after first five seconds of the sample load  $\varepsilon_{5/0}$  was set (strain relation  $\varepsilon_5$  after 5 s to  $\varepsilon_0$ ) and the factor describing the growth of the strain in next 25 s of the sample load  $\varepsilon_{30/5}$  (strain relation  $\varepsilon_{30}$  after 30 s to  $\varepsilon_5$ ). Times were selected guided by the literature and the course of the mere characteristics. First five seconds imitated the first phase of the chewing cycle. The measurement of strain after 30 s is approximately equivalent to the reaction of material to the load during chewing: it was shown that crushing of food usually takes from 10 to 40 cycles [28]-[29] wherein the duration of 35 chewing cycles in the users of complete dentures is about 30 s [30]. Analysing the course of characteristics it was considered that the increase of the strain in next 90 s does not require analysis, due to the slight changes of strains with the low probability of such a long chewing cycle. Based on the curve imitating the strain changes during the unloading the strains were determined after 1 s from the removal of the load ( $\varepsilon_{1od}$ ) and strain after 30 s from the removal of the load ( $\epsilon_{30od}$ ). There was also determined the factor  $\varepsilon_{1od/120}$  (strain relation  $\varepsilon_{1od}$  to the strain preceding the beginning of the load), which describes the ability of the samples to recover the original form just after the beginning of the unloading.

Energy dissipated by the samples was determined based on the test consisting of the one axis, cyclic compression. In the samples there was evoked the stress calculated according to dependencies (1) with the value of 0.15 MPa. There were performed 7 full cycles. The number of the performed cycles was determined experimentally - in the seventh cycle there was obtained the overlapping loops, even for the worst material in this respect. Mechanical hysteresis loops were recorded in the stress-strain system. The loops of hysteresis determined experimentally (Fig. 1) are a simple method to examine the energy of dissipation [31] and their area is a measure of energy dissipated in one full cycle (load-unload), which is changed mostly into heat [32]-[35]. Dissipation energy  $(w_d)$  is calculated as the energy difference  $L_{ab}$ (energy consumed to strain of the sample, the area under the load curve) and  $L_{ad}$  (energy given back during the unloading, the area under the unload curve) with is calculated from force displacement characteristics [33]-[33]. For the first and seventh cycle  $w_d$  was determined.

Test results were statistically evaluated using the one-way analysis of variance ANOVA ( $\alpha$ =0.05) for  $\varepsilon_0$ ,  $\varepsilon_{5/0}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{30/5}$  and the two-way analysis of variance for factor  $\varepsilon_{1od/120}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{1od}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{30od}$  and for energy dissipated during the cyclic compression. The Newman-Keuls significant difference post hoc test was used to determine the differences between mean values. Statistical significance was defined at p < 0.05.



Fig. 1. Hysteresis loop of the curve of the power to displacement dependency

## 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Results

The obtained mean values  $\varepsilon_0$  for the samples with various  $c_{Ag}$  differed from each other in a statistically important way (p<0,05) for all levels  $\sigma_e$  (Tab. 2). Mean values  $\varepsilon_0$  are summarised in Tab. 3. Based on the post-hoc test it was stated that only two mean values  $\varepsilon_0$  for UG do not differ from each other and nanocomposite  $c_{Ag}$  equal 10 ppm. Starting from  $c_{Ag}$  20 ppm the increase of the concentration of silver nanoparticles caused the growth of mean values  $\varepsilon_0$  (decrease of rigidity), and this tendency was particularly strong from  $c_{Ag}$  equal to 80 ppm.

The conducted statistical analyses showed the dependencies of coefficients  $\varepsilon_{5/0}$  and  $\varepsilon_{30/5}$  from  $c_{Ag}$  (Tab. 4). Values of coefficients  $\varepsilon_{5/0}$  were set in Tab. 5. Test post-hoc showed that for the stress of 0.1 MPa the means of the coefficient  $\varepsilon_{5/0}$  were statistically higher for the obtained nonocomposites, than for the UG material. With  $\sigma_e$  equal 0.1 and 0.2 MPa the samples of nanocomposites with cAg 120 and 200 ppm were characterised with a ratio  $\varepsilon_{5/0}$  significantly greater, than the samples of materials with a lower  $c_{\mbox{\scriptsize Ag}}$  . With a tension of 0.4 MPa there was shown no statistical effect of  $c_{Ag}$  in the values of coefficients  $\epsilon_{5/0},$  but with a tension of 0.6 MPa nanocomposites with two highest  $c_{Ag}$ characterised with a ratio of  $\varepsilon_{5/0}$  essentially lower than the materials with a lower  $c_{Ag}$ . Average values of the coefficient  $\epsilon_{30/5}$ are set in Tab. 6. These values changed similarly to the coefficient  $\varepsilon_{30/5}$ , but the power of the noted dependencies was weaker than for  $\varepsilon_{5/0}$ . Values of coefficients  $\varepsilon_{30/5}$  were within the narrow range from 1.009 to 1.039. This indicates the necessity to maintain reserves in the interpretation of the statistical tests.

There was presented the statistically important dependency between the average values of the coefficient  $\epsilon_{1od/120}$ , and  $c_{Ag}$  and

 $\sigma_e$  and a different reaction of the tested variable on one factor, with the change of the level of the second factor (Tab. 7). The influence of  $c_{Ag}$  on the obtained mean values  $\varepsilon_{1od/120}$  was almost 15 times higher than the applied  $\sigma_{e}$ . Mean values of the coefficient  $\varepsilon_{1od/120}$ (Tab. 8) grew with the increase of  $c_{Ag}$  for the specified values  $\sigma_{e}$ . On the basis of the conducted test post-hoc it was stated for  $\sigma_e$  equal 0.1 MPa that there were statistically essential differences of the coefficient between UG and the obtained composites. For  $\sigma_e$  equal 0,1 MPa in the range of  $c_{Ag}$  from 0 to 80 ppm and for  $\sigma_e$  equal 0.2; 0.4 and 0.6 MPa in the range of  $c_{Ag}$  from 0 to 40 ppm of the coefficient values obtained at the specified tension were very similar to each other. They ranged from 0,1 to 0,3, but higher values were noted with lower values  $\sigma_e$  (0.1 MPa). These results should be considered together with the results of measurements  $\varepsilon_{1od}$ , because higher obtained values of the coefficient with lower values  $\sigma_e$ result from the relatively low values of strain  $\varepsilon_{120}$ . Further enhancement of cAg caused a considerable increase of the mean values  $\varepsilon_{1od/120}$  for all  $\sigma_e$ , while the differences of values of the coefficient  $\varepsilon_{1od/120}$  obtained for the samples with various  $c_{Ag}$  were even higher, when the value of the applied  $\sigma_e$  was higher. There was shown a strong dependency between the mean values of  $\varepsilon_{1od}$ , and  $c_{Ag}$  and the value of the applied  $\sigma_{\mu}$ . Statistically essential was the interaction of two factors on the tested variable (Tab. 9). Increasing  $c_{Ag}$  and  $\sigma_{e}$  caused the growth of the recorded values  $\varepsilon_{1od}$  (Tab. 10), while the growth of values  $\varepsilon_{1od}$  caused by the increase of one of the factors was greater with the simultaneous increase of the second factor. The graphic representation of the described dependencies is presented in Fig. 2. The conducted test post-hoc showed that UG and the nanocomposite with  $c_{A_{0}}$  equal 10 ppm and nanocomposites with cAg equal from 10 to 40 ppm did not differ in a statistically important way with mean values  $\varepsilon_{1od}$  for particular stress values. . Within the given cAg (from the above range) there was noted a difference between values  $\varepsilon_{1od}$  obtained with  $\sigma_e 0.1$  and 0.6 MPa. Values  $\varepsilon_{1od}$  were in the range 2.24 to 4.3%, what means that already after the first second from the beginning of the unloading, the seemingly permanent strains were very small (on the level of a hundredth of a millimetre). Further enhancement of cAg caused a significant increase of the values of the coefficient  $\varepsilon_{1od}$ . In the range of  $c_{Ag}$  equal 80 to 200 ppm samples differed in mean values  $\varepsilon_{1od}$  between themselves and from the samples of materials with a lower mass share of silver nanoparticles. Also the size of the applied  $\sigma_e$  highly differentiated the means. The range of the mean values of  $\varepsilon_{1od}$  was from 5.15% (80 ppm, 0.1 MPa) to 36,90 % (200 ppm, 0.6 MPa).

There was shown a strong correlation between mean values  $\varepsilon_{30od}$ , and  $c_{Ag}$  a the value of the applied  $\sigma_e$  and the statistically important interaction of two factors on the tested variable (Tab. 11). The obtained results were set in Tab. 12. The graphic interpretation of the discussed dependencies is presented in Fig. 3. Based on the test post-hoc it was shown that samples made of the UG material and composites with  $c_{Ag}$  from 10 to 40 ppm, regardless of the previously applied  $\sigma_e$ , did not differ between themselves with mean values  $\varepsilon_{30od}$  (1.75 to 2.62 %). Further enhancement of  $c_{Ag}$  caused the growth of the recorded values  $\varepsilon_{30od}$ , while the reaction to the increase of the nanosilver share was smaller than the value of the applied  $\sigma_e$  was higher. The maximal mean values of  $\varepsilon_{30od}$  obtained for samples with  $c_{Ag}$  equal 200 ppm were 13%, so they were clearly smaller than after the first second.

Table 1.

Mean hardness values in Shore A units of investigated materials from [15]

Silver concentration, ppm         0 (Ufi Gel)         10         20         40         80         120         200           Hardness, Shore A units         31.2±0.6         28.±0.5         28.2±0.6         27.5±0.7         25.9±0.4         22.8±0.4         21.4±1.0		Ų		L J				
Hardness, Shore A units         31.2±0.6         28.±0.5         28.2±0.6         27.5±0.7         25.9±0.4         22.8±0.4         21.4±1.0	Silver concentration, ppm	0 (Ufi Gel)	10	20	40	80	120	200
	Hardness, Shore A units	31.2±0.6	28.±0.5	28.2±0.6	27.5±0.7	25.9±0.4	22.8±0.4	21.4±1.0

Table 2.

Results of the statistical assessment with the ANOVA test of the influence of  $c_{Ag}$  on the mean values of strain at the moment of the implementation of the maximal contractual stress

Engineering stress $\sigma_e$ MPa	<sup>e.</sup> Source of variance	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F-test statistic value	р
0.1	$c_{Ag}$	1654.44	6	275.74	2051.23	< 0.0001
0.2	$c_{Ag}$	2840.00	6	473.33	887.64	< 0.0001
0.4	$c_{Ag}$	3620.59	6	603.43	833.23	< 0.0001
0.6	$c_{Ag}$	4624.23	6	770.71	680.09	< 0.0001

Table 3.

Mean values of strain at the moment of the implementation of the maximal sample load with the standard deviations for various values of the contractual stress  $\sigma_e^*$ 

Nanosilver concentration a num	Strain at the moment of the implementation of the maximal sample load $\varepsilon_0$ %							
Nanoshver concentration $c_{Ag}$ . ppin	$\sigma_e = 0.1 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.2 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.4 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.6 \text{ MPa}$				
0	8.70±0.15 <sup>a</sup>	14.77±0.46 <sup>a</sup>	24.34±0.41 <sup>a</sup>	31.6±60.86 <sup>a</sup>				
10	8.93±0.18 <sup>a</sup>	15.53±0.54 <sup>a</sup>	25.06±0.95 <sup>a</sup>	31.50±0.41 <sup>a</sup>				
20	$9.77 \pm 0.28^{b}$	$17.15 \pm 0.48^{b}$	$28.78 \pm 0.73^{b}$	$34.03 \pm 1.20^{b}$				
40	10.50±0.43 <sup>c</sup>	18.91±0.68 <sup>c</sup>	30.56±1.09 <sup>c</sup>	36.33±0.97c				
80	16.63±0.47 <sup>d</sup>	26.30±0.77 <sup>d</sup>	$39.28 \pm 0.55^{d}$	50.33±1.12 <sup>d</sup>				
120	22.77±0.42 <sup>e</sup>	33.81±0.98 <sup>e</sup>	46.26±0.93 <sup>e</sup>	56.94±1.51 <sup>e</sup>				
200	$26.99 \pm 0.47^{f}$	39.41±1.00 <sup>f</sup>	52.57±1.06 <sup>f</sup>	$60.06 \pm 1.05^{f}$				
* Groups with the same upper case superscript letters (a-f) for each column are not significantly different at the $n < 0.05$ level								

Table 4.

Results of the statistical assessment with the ANOVA test of the influence of  $c_{Ag}$  on the mean values of coefficients describing the changes of strains in the first 5 s of the load ( $\epsilon_{5/0}$ ) and after next 25 s ( $\epsilon_{30/5}$ ), (p< 0.05)

Coefficient	Engineering stress $\sigma_{e}$ . MPa	Source of variance	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F-test statistic value	р
	0.1	$u_{AgT}$	0.02	6	0.00	45.55	< 0.0001
2	0.2	$u_{AgT}$	0.01	6	0.00	10.32	< 0.0001
E <sub>5/0</sub>	0.4	$u_{AgT}$	0.00	6	0.00	2.30	0.0621
	0.6	$u_{AgT}$	0.00	6	0.00	7.62	0.0001
	0.1	$u_{AgT}$	0.00	6	0.00	5.47	0.0008
\varepsilon_{30/5}	0.2	$u_{AgT}$	0.00	6	0.00	2.73	0.0324
	0.4	$u_{AgT}$	0.00	6	0.00	7.85	0.0001
	0.6	$u_{AgT}$	0.00	6	0.00	2.59	0.0399

Table 5.

Mean values with the standard deviations of the coefficient describing the increase of the strain after first five seconds of the load\*

Nanosilver concentration c nnm —	The strain growth coefficient after first five seconds of the load $\varepsilon_{5/0}$							
Nanoshver concentration c <sub>Ag</sub> . ppin	$\sigma_e = 0.1 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.2 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.4 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.6 \text{ MPa}$				
0	1.07±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.05±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	$1.05 \pm 0.00$	$1.04{\pm}0.00^{a}$				
10	$1.08 \pm 0.00^{b}$	$1.05 \pm 0.00^{a}$	$1.05 \pm 0.02$	$1.03{\pm}0.00^{a}$				
20	1.09±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	1.05±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	$1.04{\pm}0.00$	$1.03 \pm 0.01^{ab}$				
40	1.09±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	$1.07 \pm 0.02^{b}$	$1.05 \pm 0.02$	$1.03 \pm 0.00^{ab}$				
80	1.09±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	$1.06\pm0.00^{a}$	$1.05 \pm 0.00$	$1.02 \pm 0.01^{bc}$				
120	1.13±0.01°	$1.08{\pm}0.00^{b}$	$1.04{\pm}0.00$	$1.01{\pm}0.00^{\circ}$				
200	1.13±0.01 <sup>c</sup>	$1.08 \pm 0.00^{b}$	$1.04{\pm}0.00$	$1.01 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$				
<sup>*</sup> Groups with the same upper case superscript letters (a-c) for each column are not significantly different at the $n < 0.05$ level								

### Table 6.

Mean values with the standard deviations of the coefficient describing the strain growth between the fifth and thirtieth second after the implementation of the load\*

Nanosilver concentration $c_{Ag}$ .	Strain growth coefficient be	etween the fifth and thirtie	eth second after the implei	mentation of the load $\epsilon_{30/5}$
ppm	$\sigma_e = 0.1 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.2 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.4 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.6 \text{ MPa}$
0	$1.028{\pm}0.004^{st}$	$1.016{\pm}0.006^{t}$	$1.012 \pm 0.001^{vyz}$	$1.010 \pm 0.001$
10	$1.030 \pm 0.003^{t}$	$1.013 \pm 0.001^{x}$	$1.011 \pm 0.001^{ux}$	$1.015 \pm 0.010^{vx}$
20	$1.027{\pm}0.004^{u}$	$1.020{\pm}0.008^{uvx}$	$1.013 \pm 0.000^{st}$	$1.009 \pm 0.001$
40	$1.025{\pm}0.004^{vx}$	$1.016 \pm 0.002^{y}$	$1.013{\pm}0.001^{uv}$	$1.012 \pm 0.001^{yz}$
80	$1.025{\pm}0.001^{yz}$	$1.017{\pm}0.001^{z}$	$1.014{\pm}0.001^{xyz}$	1.011±0.009
120	1.033±0.015 <sup>sxy</sup>	$1.019{\pm}0.010^{\circ}$	$1.010 \pm 0.002^{uy}$	$1.005 \pm 0.001^{vy}$
200	$1.039 \pm 0.007^{tuvz}$	$1.024{\pm}0.001^{tuyz}$	$1.010 \pm 0.002^{stvz}$	$1.005 \pm 0.001^{xz}$

\* Groups with the same upper case superscript letters (s-z) for each column are significantly different at the p < 0.05 level

#### Table 7.

Results of the statistical assessment with the ANOVA test (p<0,05) of the influence  $c_{Ag}$  and  $\sigma_e$  on the mean values of the coefficient describing the ability of the samples to recover the original form in the first second of unloading

	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F-test statistic value	р
$\sigma_{e}$	0.10	3	0.03	73.22	< 0.0001
$c_{Ag}$	2.81	6	0.47	1078.55	< 0.0001
$\sigma_{e}  imes c_{Ag}$	0.38	18	0.02	48.93	< 0.0001

Table 8.

Mean values with the standard deviations of the coefficient describing the ability of the samples to recover the original form in the first second of unloading\*

Nanosilver concentration cnnm	Sample ability coefficient to recover the original form in the first second of unloading $\varepsilon_{1od/120}$						
Nanoshver concentration c <sub>Ag</sub> . ppm	Sample ability coefficient to recover the origin $\sigma_e = 0.1 \text{ MPa}$ $\sigma_e = 0.2 \text{ MPa}$ $0.24 \pm 0.02^{A.a}$ $0.16 \pm 0.01^{B.a}$ $0.30 \pm 0.03^{A.b}$ $0.20 \pm 0.01^{B.b}$ $0.27 \pm .02^{A.c}$ $0.20 \pm 0.01^{B.b}$ $0.28 \pm 0.01^{A.bc}$ $0.18 \pm 0.02^{B.ab}$	$\sigma_e = 0.4 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.6 \text{ MPa}$				
0	$0.24{\pm}0.02^{A.a}$	$0.16 \pm 0.01^{B.a}$	$0.10{\pm}0.01^{C.a}$	$0.10{\pm}0.01^{C.a}$			
10	$0.30{\pm}0.03^{A.b}$	$0.20{\pm}0.01^{B.b}$	$0.14{\pm}0.04^{C.b}$	$0.12{\pm}0.01^{C.a}$			
20	$0.27 \pm .02^{A.c}$	$0.20 \pm 0.01^{B.b}$	$0.12{\pm}0.00^{C.ab}$	0.13±0.01 <sup>C.a</sup>			
40	$0.28 \pm 0.01^{A.bc}$	$0.18{\pm}0.02^{B.ab}$	0.12±0.01 <sup>C.ab</sup>	$0.11 \pm 0.01^{C.a}$			
80	$0.28 \pm 0.02^{AB.c}$	$0.29 \pm 0.00^{A.c}$	$0.25 \pm 0.00^{BC.c}$	$0.24{\pm}0.03^{C.c}$			
120	$0.41{\pm}0.03^{A.d}$	$0.41 {\pm} 0.03^{A.d}$	$0.46{\pm}0.02^{B.d}$	$0.48{\pm}0.02^{B.d}$			
200	0.47±0.04 <sup>A.e</sup>	0.46±0.02 <sup>A.e</sup>	0.64±0.03 <sup>B.e</sup>	0.60±0.03 <sup>C.e</sup>			

\*Groups with the same upper case superscript letters (A-E) for each row and lower case superscript letters (a-e) for each column are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level

#### Table 9.

Results of the statistical assessment with the ANOVA test (p<0.05) of the influence of  $c_{Ag}$  and  $\sigma_e$  on the mean values of strains in the 1 s of the removal of the load ( $\epsilon_{1od}$ )

	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F-test statistic value	р
$\sigma_{e}$	1124.91	3	374.97	657.38	< 0.0001
c <sub>Ag</sub>	10832.76	6	1805.46	3165.27	< 0.0001
$\sigma_e  imes c_{Ag}$	1617.33	18	89.85	157.52	< 0.0001

Mean values with the standard deviations in the 1s from the removal of the load*								
	Strain after 1s from the re-	emoval of the load $\varepsilon_{1od.}$ %						
$\sigma_e = 0.1 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.2 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.4 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.6 \text{ MPa}$					
2.27±0.11 <sup>A.a</sup>	2.53±0.23 <sup>AB.a</sup>	2.71±0.25 <sup>AB.a</sup>	3.35±0.33 <sup>B.a</sup>					
3.08±0.29 <sup>A.ab</sup>	3.27±0.15 <sup>AB.ab</sup>	3.18±0.13 <sup>AB.ab</sup>	4.04±0.31 <sup>B.ab</sup>					
3.04±0.25 <sup>A.ab</sup>	3.62±0.17 <sup>AB.b</sup>	3.74±0.17 <sup>AB.b</sup>	4.45±0.39 <sup>B.b</sup>					
$3.32 \pm 0.09^{A.b}$	3.80±0.33 <sup>AB.b</sup>	3.96±0.38 <sup>AB.b</sup>	4.30±0.24 <sup>B.b</sup>					
5.15±0.36 <sup>A.c</sup>	8.29±0.27 <sup>B.c</sup>	10.69±0.24 <sup>C.c</sup>	12.76±1.49 <sup>D.c</sup>					
11.04±0.89 <sup>A.d</sup>	$15.32 \pm 0.76^{B.d}$	22.19±1.17 <sup>C.d</sup>	27.65±1.04 <sup>D.d</sup>					
15.10±1.09 <sup>A.e</sup>	$20.32 \pm 1.29^{B.e}$	35.23±1.86 <sup>C.e</sup>	36.90±1.52 <sup>D.e</sup>					
	$\label{eq:second} \begin{split} & \frac{\sigma_e = 0.1 \ MPa}{2.27 \pm 0.11^{A.a}} \\ & \frac{3.08 \pm 0.29^{A.ab}}{3.04 \pm 0.25^{A.ab}} \\ & \frac{3.04 \pm 0.25^{A.ab}}{5.15 \pm 0.36^{A.c}} \\ & \frac{11.04 \pm 0.89^{A.d}}{15.10 \pm 1.09^{A.c}} \end{split}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\label{eq:strain_strain} \begin{array}{ c c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $					

Table 10. Mean values with the standard deviations in the 1s from the removal of the load<sup>4</sup>

\*Groups with the same upper case superscript letters (A-D) for each row and lower case superscript letters (a-e) for each column are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level

#### Table 11.

Results of the statistical assessment with the ANOVA test (p<0.05) of the influence of  $c_{Ag}$  and  $\sigma_e$  on the mean values of strains after 30s from the removal of the load ( $\epsilon_{30od}$ )

	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F-test statistic value	р
$\sigma_{e}$	122.97	3	40.99	120.55	< 0.0001
$c_{Ag}$	1117.79	6	186.30	547.88	< 0.0001
$\sigma_{e}  imes c_{Ag}$	180.78	18	10.04	29.54	< 0.0001

### Table 12.

Mean values with the standard deviations after 30s from the removal of the load\*

Nanosilver concentration c <sub>Ac</sub> , ppm	Strain after 30s from the removal of the load $\varepsilon_{30od}$ . %					
Ag. Fr	$\sigma_e = 0.1 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.2 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.4 \text{ MPa}$	$\sigma_e = 0.6 \text{ MPa}$		
0	1.75±0.15 <sup>A.a</sup>	1.83±0.30 <sup>A.a</sup>	$1.71 \pm 0.22^{A.ab}$	2.19±0.27 <sup>A.ab</sup>		
10	1.90±0.20 <sup>A.a</sup>	1.79±0.26 <sup>A.a</sup>	1.58±0.13 <sup>A.a</sup>	2.22±0.06 <sup>A.ab</sup>		
20	1.91±0.25 <sup>A.a</sup>	1.93±0.23 <sup>A.a</sup>	2.24±0.29 <sup>AB.b</sup>	2.62±0.56 <sup>B.a</sup>		
40	1.84±0.19 <sup>A.a</sup>	2.33±0.22 <sup>A.a</sup>	1.81±0.36 <sup>A.ab</sup>	$1.86 \pm 0.30^{A.b}$		
80	2.85±0.30 <sup>A.b</sup>	3.26±0.28 <sup>A.b</sup>	4.92±0.55 <sup>B.c</sup>	5.64±1.29 <sup>B.c</sup>		
120	4.20±0.27 <sup>A.c</sup>	5.60±0.26 <sup>B.c</sup>	$8.22 \pm 0.68^{C.d}$	$9.18 \pm 1.17^{\text{D.d}}$		
200	5.74±0.33 <sup>A.d</sup>	$7.46\pm0.84^{B.d}$	$13.05 \pm 1.08^{C.e}$	$12.28 \pm 1.49^{\text{D.e}}$		

\*Groups with the same upper case superscript letters (A-D) for each row and lower case superscript letters (a-e) for each column are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level



Fig. 2. The influence of the mass share of silver nanoparticles on the values of strains in the first second from the beginning of unloading for various contractual stress values; the confidence range is indicated with markers



Fig. 3. The influence of the mass share of silver nanoparticles on the strain values in the thirtieth second from the beginning of unloading for various contractual stress values; the confidence range is indicated with markers

The statistical analyses (Table 13) showed the dependence of the energy of dissipation  $w_d$  on  $c_{Ag}$  and on the cycle number, while the influence of  $c_{Ag}$  was about thirty times stronger in the direction of the increase of the value  $w_d$ , than the cycle number. Statistically significant was also the influence on the values  $w_d$  of the mutual interaction of  $c_{Ag}$  and the number of cycles. In Table 14 there are presented the mean values of  $w_d$  calculated based on the hysteresis loop from the first and seventh cycle. The graphic illustration of the discussed dependencies is presented in Fig. 4. The conducted test post-hoc showed that samples of the UG material and composite with  $c_{Ag}$  10 ppm did not differ from each other in a statistically significant way with mean values  $w_d$ , while the mean values of  $w_d$  were also not differentiated by the cycle number, in which the measurement was taken. Starting from the concentration of silver nanoparticles 20 ppm value of  $w_d$  increased with the growth of  $c_{Ag}$ . For example, composite with  $c_{Ag}$  equal 40 ppm dissipated almost twice as much energy than UG material. This increase was especially clear for nanosilver concentrations from 80 ppm the increase  $c_{Ag}$  from 40 to 80 ppm allowed to dissipat more than two and a half times, and from 40 to 200 ppm more than six time more energy. Mean values of w<sub>d</sub> in the first and seventh cycle differed among themselves in a statistically significant way, while in case of  $c_{Ag}$  20 to 40 ppm these differences were slight and ranged from 2 to 5%, while for  $c_{Ag}$  80 to 200 ppm there was noted the decrease of mean values of energy of dissipation by 12 to 16%. The comparison of the process of load curves of the stress-strain characteristics in 1 and 7 of the obtained nanocomposites with  $c_{Ag}$  equal 80 to 200 ppm indicates the increase of the stiffness of samples in subsequent cycles.

#### Table 13.

Results of the statistical assessment with the ANOVA test ( $p \le 0.05$ ) of the influence  $c_{Ag}$  and the cycle number, in which the measurement was taken, on the value of the dissipation energy

	Sum of squares	Freedom levels	Average squares	Value of the Fisher statistics	р
$\mathcal{C}_{Ag}$	29911.43	6	4985.24	4504.95	< 0.0001
cycle number	169.51	1	169.51	153.18	< 0.0001
$c_{Ag} \times$ cycle number	218.10	6	36.35	32.85	< 0.0001

Table 14.

Mean values of the dissipation energy  $(w_d)$  with standard deviations in the first and seventh load cycle\*

Share of silver nanoparticles in composite	Dissipatio	on energy $w_d$ . ×10 <sup>-4</sup> . J
$u_{AgT}$ . ppm	1 <sup>th</sup> cycle	7 <sup>th</sup> cycle
0 ppm	6.15±0.22 <sup>A.a</sup>	5.93±0.14 <sup>A.a</sup>
10 ppm	6.95±0.31 <sup>A.a</sup>	6.52±0.13 <sup>A.a</sup>
20 ppm	9.31±0.33 <sup>A.b</sup>	9.12±0.21 <sup>A.b</sup>
40 ppm	11.75±0.48 <sup>A.c</sup>	11.14±0.39 <sup>A.c</sup>
80 ppm	27.24±0.93 <sup>A.d</sup>	22.99±0.91 <sup>B.d</sup>
120 ppm	51.02±1.25 <sup>A.e</sup>	44.83±1.94 <sup>B.e</sup>
200 ppm	66.64±1.97 <sup>A.f</sup>	56.74±1.96 <sup>B.f</sup>

\*Groups with the same upper case superscript letters (A-B) for each row and lower case superscript letters (a-f) for each column are not significantly different at the p < 0.05 level



Fig. 4. The influence of the mass share of silver nanoparticles on the value of the dissipation energy in the first and seventh load cycle; the confidence level is indicated with markers

#### **3.2.** Discussion

Dentures in use are subjected to cyclic impact of the chewing forces. The basic task of soft linings is the reduction of the load of the mucous membrane and to prevent the mechanical injuries. The proper functioning of soft linings is conditioned by the elastic properties as well as viscoelastic ones of the materials. For the users of dentures what is important is the value of the energy dispersed by the linings and the ability of the lining material to a quick reaction to the loads/unloads encountered while chewing. It is believed that the softer material will distribute the loads on the soft tissue better and that the lining material should absorb as much energy transmitted to the mucous membrane while chewing a possible. However, this is not fully true, because many materials with viscoelastic characteristics dissipates the energy during the load, but at the same time experiences seemingly permanent strains, connected with the long-time of the duration of the return strain. These types of strains, especially in case of soft materials, can very adversely affect the functioning of dentures, causing in practice the "light off" of the response of the material on the applied, cyclic loads. Therefore, in this paper there was studied the influence of the introduction of silver nanoparticles to the commercially used silicone on the process of the strain-time characteristics of the samples, which is considered together with the values of the energy dissipated by the samples during the cyclic compression.

On the basis of time characteristics during the load, there was determined the strain value  $\varepsilon_0$  at the moment of the implementation of the maximal sample load, coefficient describing the increase of the strain after first five seconds of the sample load  $\varepsilon_{5/0}$  (strain sample  $\varepsilon_5$  after 5 s to  $\varepsilon_0$ ) and the coefficient describing the increase of strain in next 25 s of the sample load  $\varepsilon_{30/5}$  (ration of strain  $\varepsilon_{30}$  after 30s to  $\varepsilon_5$ ). While during the unloading there were determined strain after 1 s from the removal of the load ( $\varepsilon_{1od}$ ) and strains after 30 s from the removal of the load ( $\varepsilon_{30od}$ ) and the relation of the strain  $\varepsilon_{1od}$  to the strain preceding the beginning of the unloading ( $\varepsilon_{1od/120}$ ), describing the ability of the samples to recover the original form already after the beginning of the unloading. It has been shown that samples

with c<sub>Ag</sub> higher than 10 ppm in comparison with the UG material characterised with the lower stiffness. The decrease of stiffness was significantly above cAg equal 80 ppm. At the same time, coefficients  $\varepsilon_{5/0}$  and  $\varepsilon_{30/5}$  determined during the load reached low values, what is a desired feature, because this proves a very fast response of the material to the applied load. Low values of coefficients mean that although the statistically significant differences have been shown, they are of no great practical importance. Nonetheless, what is interesting is the trend noted in case of the highest stress values, reducing the mean values of the coefficient  $\varepsilon_{5/0}$  for the composites with the highest shares of silver nanoparticles. It results from great values of strains  $\varepsilon_0$ , which the moments underwent at the moment of the implementation of the load. Considerably deformed material has more limited abilities for the further strain, than almost twice more stiff materials with lower cAg. The introduction of the silver nanoparticles to the lining material influenced also the reaction of composites on the loads. Starting from cAg equal 80 ppm, there was noted an unfavourable change of values of the coefficient  $\epsilon_{1od/120}$  and strains  $\epsilon_{1od}$  and  $\epsilon_{30od},$  which for two highest shares of nanosilver was very clear. With the increase of  $c_{Ag}$  there grew the values of the seemingly permanent strains in 1 and 120 s after the removal of the load, which were higher when the greater load was applied. This unfavourable effect is caused by the increase of the viscosity of the samples, with the simultaneous decrease of their elasticity. The cause of this situation is the lowering of the level of conversion of composites [15]. Particularly high were the values of  $\varepsilon_{1od}$  and coefficient  $\varepsilon_{1od/120}$ , proving the limited capacity of the recovery of the original form by the material during the chewing cycle. The observed severe loss of elastic properties with the greatest stress values may lead in the clinical values to the increase of pain sensations experienced by the patients. Based on the conducted studies it was stated, however, that despite the decrease of the stiffness with the increase of cAg, the sample of composites with the shares of nanosilver equal 10 to 40 ppm, similarly to the material available commercially, they characterised with the desired values, guaranteeing the almost immediate reaction of the material to the application or removal

of the load, regardless of the value of the applied contractual stress.

These results should be considered in connection with the results of measurements  $w_d$  by the samples in 1 and 7 cycle of compression. The conducted analysis showed very good dissipation properties of the tested materials. Especially from the point of view of the use of soft linings there is a small difference between the values of work dissipated in 1 and 7 cycle obtained for nanocomposites with the shares of nanosilver equal 10 to 40 ppm. These results correspond well with the results of measurements of strain  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{1od},$  where with the stress values equal from 0,1 to 0,2 MPa strains did not exceed 4%. Both experiments proves that these materials during the chewing cycle will be stable, almost without losing their original dissipated properties. It should be emphasised that the samples of material with the share of nanosilver equal 40 ppm had almost twice as big value  $w_d$ , than the samples of the UG material, while they maintained other properties, such as hardness, bond strength, sorption and solubility [15]. Therefore, it can be said that they have much improved properties in comparison to the starting material. Further enhancement of cAg allowed to obtain a several times greater values of the dissipation energy, however, the differences between the first and last cycle have clearly increased. This is consistent with the expectations, because of the behaviour of the samples during the load in the tests of the time characteristics. At the same time the potentially better dissipation properties of these composites, connected with the increase of the internal viscosity of the material as a result of the worse cross-linking of the materials, are depreciated by the negative consequences of the increase of cAg described in the previous work [15] and great values of the coefficient  $\varepsilon_{10d/120}$ , and strains  $\varepsilon_{10d}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{300d}$ . It was therefore considered that in case of composites with shares of silver nanoparticles 80 to 200ppm, the growth of the dissipation work is only a seemingly positive effect, resulting from the generally negative changes of the properties. Moreover, the obtained high values  $\varepsilon_{1od}$ , with stress ranging from 0.4 to 0.6 MPa prove that the use of higher stress values would still lower the high values of the dissipation work obtained in the last cycle.

The presented method allows the laboratory evaluation of the effectiveness of the unloading of the mucous membrane through the soft lining materials. In the light of the presented studies during the loading the greatest importance for the effectiveness of the material falls on the strain value  $\varepsilon_0$ , determining the stiffness of the sample with the specified stress value. Although the obtained coefficients  $\varepsilon_{5/0}$  and  $\varepsilon_{30/5}$  could significantly differ from other soft lining materials (especially acrylic) [20],[22],[36]-[40], however, if we take into account the frequency and the average cycle of chewing in the denture users ranking from 10 to 40 cycles during approx. 30 s [28]-[30] their analysis does not bring any interesting information.

Important in the light of the conducted studies are the values of strains and coefficients  $\varepsilon_{1od/120}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{1od}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{30od}$  describing the behaviour of the material during the unload, which decide how fast the material recovers its original properties both during the chewing cycle ( $\varepsilon_{1od}$ ) and after it is finished or in the intervals separating the next chewing cycles. The greater the values of strains and coefficients, the worse the material, because it undergoes slower regeneration losing for a moment some of its rehabilitation properties. The complementary study which allows

to quantitatively compare the samples of materials in terms of energy absorption, which will not be transferred to the tissues under the denture plate, is the determination of the energy dissipated by the samples during the cyclic compression. These studies showed that more viscous materials, which in the analysed cases were also very soft, clearly dissipated more energy, however to a greater degree during the chewing cycle they underwent stiffening and lost the original dissipation properties. It should also be noted that softer materials with the increase of the stress values were stiffened in a greater extent. Such material in case of great load focused on a small area (e.g. with great inequalities of the appendicitis or in case of acute appendicitis) can undergo the temporary strain, which is so large that its layer in the loaded place will be too thin to properly fulfil its role.

The presented methodology of the research is even closer to reality, when the appendicitis is more uniform and flat, close to the plane of stamps. In order to obtain a fuller picture of the situation we should conduct studies with a methodology previously described by McCabe et al. [21] consisting of the loading of samples with the properly shaped penetrator, which gives better results in respect to the local compliance and viscoelasticity of denture soft linings. In contrast, the advantages of the presented simple methods are: the possibility to use the quantitative comparison of energy dissipated by the samples and the estimation of the reaction of material with more even distribution of loads. Furthermore, the presented method provides the possibility to use the variable stress values, what enables the easy connection of the obtained results with stress values, which cause pain sensations in the mucous membrane. It should be noted that the relations between the behaviour of the samples and the hardness of the materials shown in this paper are appropriate for the tested materials, what does not mean that the same results will be obtained for other materials of similar hardness.

### References

- K. Bulad, R.L. Taylor, J. Verran, J.F. McCord, Colonization and penetration of denture soft lining materials by Candida albicans, Dental Materials 20/2 (2004) 167-175.
- [2] P.J. Mack, Denture soft linings: clinical indications, Australian Dental Journal 34 (1989) 454-458.
- [3] W. Józefowicz, Biophysical properties of soft tissue prosthetic base and their importance in the treatment of prosthetic, Prosthodontics 21/2 (1971) 101-105 (in Polish).
- [4] Z. Florjańczyk, S. Penczek, Chemistry of Polymers, Volume II, Publishing House of Warsaw Technical University, Warsaw, 1997, 322-347.
- [5] A. El-Hadary, J.L. Drummond, Comparative study of water sorption, solubility, and tensile bond strength of two soft lining materials, Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry 83/3 (2000) 356-361.
- [6] K. Saber-Sheikh, R.L. Clarke, M. Braden, Viscoelastic properties of some soft lining materials. II-Ageing characteristics, Biomaterials 20/21 (1999) 2055-2062.
- [7] M.X. Pisani, A.D. Malheiros-Segundo, K.L. Balbino, R.D. Souza, H.D. Paranhos, C.H. Lovato da Silva, Oral health related quality of life of edentulous patients after

denture relining with a silicone-based soft liner, Gerodontology, 2011.

- [8] S. Kimoto, K. Kimoto, A. Gunji, Y. Kawai, H. Murakami, K. Tanaka, K. Syu, H. Aoki, M. Toyoda, K. Kobayashi, Clinical effects of acrylic resilient denture liners applied to mandibular complete dentures on the alveolar ridge, Journal of Oral Rehabilitation 34/11 (2007) 862-869.
- [9] W.F. Schmidt Jr., D.E. Smith, A six-year retrospective study of Molloplast-B-lined dentures. Part II: Liner serviceability, Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry 50/4 (1983) 459-465.
- [10] W.F. Schmidt, D.E. Smith, A six-year retrospective study of Molloplast-B-lined dentures. Part I: Patient response, Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry 50/3 (1983) 308-313.
- [11] M.M. Mutluay, S. Oguz, F. Fløystrand, E. Saxegaard, A. Dogan, B. Bek, I.E. Ruyter, A prospective study on the clinical performance of polysiloxane soft liners: one-year results, Dental Materials Journal 27/3 (2008) 440-447.
- [12] M.D. Bertolini, Y.W. Cavalcanti, D. Bordin, W.J. Silva, A.A. Cury, Candida albicans biofilms and MMA surface treatment influence the adhesion of soft denture liners to PMMA resin. Brazilian Oral Research 28/1 (2013) 61-66.
- [13] S. Hahnel, M. Rosentritt, R. Bürgers, G. Handel, R. Lang. Candida albicans biofilm formation on soft denture liners and efficacy of cleaning protocols. Gerodontology 29/2 (2012) 383-91.
- [14] H. Gedik, Y.K. Ozkan, The effect of surface roughness of silicone-based resilient liner materials on the adherence of Candida albicans and inhibition of Candida albicans with different disinfectants. Oral Health and Preventive Dentistry 7/4 (2009) 347-53.
- [15] G. Chladek, J. Kasperski, I. Barszczewska-Rybarek, J. Zmudzki, Sorption, solubility, bond strength and hardness of denture soft lining incorporated with silver nanoparticles. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 14/1 (2012) 563-74.
- [16] G. Chladek, I. Barszczewska-Rybarek, J. Lukaszczyk, Developing the procedure of modifying the denture soft liner by silver nanoparticles. Acta Bioeng Biomech. 14/1 (2012) 23-29.
- [17] W. Santawisuk, W. Kanchanavasita, C. Sirisinha, C. Harnirattisai, Dynamic viscoelastic properties of experimental silicone soft lining materials. Dental Materials Journal 29/4 (2010) 454-60.
- [18] O.M. Doğan, S. Keskin, A. Doğan, H. Ataman, A. Usanmaz, Structure-property relation of a soft liner material used in denture applications, Dental Materials Journal 26/3 (2007) 329-334.
- [19] K. Saber-Sheikh, R.L. Clarke, M. Braden, Viscoelastic properties of some soft lining materials I-effect of temperature, Biomaterials 20/9 (1999) 817-822.
- [20] H. Murat, N. Taguchi, T. Hamada, J.F. McCabe, Dynamic viscoelastic properties and the age changes of long-term soft denture liners, Biomaterials 21/14 (2000) 1421-1427.
- [21] J.F. McCabe, R.M. Basker, H. Murata, P.G. Wollwage. The development of a simple test method to characterise the compliance and viscoelasticity of long-term soft lining materials. European Journal of Prosthodontics and Restorative Dentistry 4/2 (1996) 77-81.
- [22] G. Muraoka, H. Takahashi, I. Hayakawa, Effects of cyclic loading on viscoelastic properties of soft lining materials. Dental Materials Journal 22/3 (2003) 251-61.

- [23] S. Ochelski, P. Bogusz, Effect of composite tubes filled elastomers of different hardness on the amount of energy absorbed, Polymers and Composites Design, Monograph, published by Logos Press, Cieszyn, 2010.
- [24] T. Ogawa, M. Tanaka, T. Ogimoto, N. Okushi, K. Koyano, K. Takeuchi, Mapping, profiling and clustering of pressure pain threshold (PPT) in edentulous oral mucosa, Journal of Dentistry 32/3 (2004) 219-228.
- [25] J. Żmudzki, Load mucous membrane of the alveolar part of the mandible during chewing washes up under the influence of dentures evaluated by finite element method, Journal of Dentistry 63/11 (2010) 711-721.
- [26] M. Tanaka, T. Ogimoto, K. Koyano, T. Ogawa, Denture wearing and strong bite force reduce pressure pain threshold of edentulous oral mucosa, Journal of Oral Rehabilitation 31/9 (2004) 873-878.
- [27] T. Ogimoto, T. Ogawa, K. Sumiyoshi, Y. Matsuka, K. Koyano, Pressure-pain threshold determination in the oral mucosa: validity and reliability, Journal of Oral Rehabilitation 29/7 (2002) 620-626.
- [28] A. Woda, A. Mishellany, M.A. Peyron, The regulation of masticatory function and food bolus formation, Journal of Oral Rehabilitation 33/11 (2006) 840-849.
- [29] R.S. Shinkai, J.P. Hatch, J.D. Rugh, S. Sakai, C.C. Mabley, M.J. Sanders, Dietary intake in edentulous subjects with good and poor quality complete dentures, Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry 87/5 (2002) 490-498.
- [30] M.C. Goiato, A.R. Garcia. D.M. dos Santos, P.R. Zuim, Analysis of masticatory cycle efficiency in complete denture wearers, The International Journal of Prosthodontics 19/1 (2010) 10-13.
- [31] J. Lai, J.E. Mark, Advances in Elastomers and Rubber Elasticity, Plenum, New York, 1986.
- [32] J. Garbarski, Laboratory materials and non-metallic composites, Publishing House of Warsaw Technical University, Warsaw, 2003.
- [33] J. Giergiel, attenuation of mechanical vibrations, Publishing House PWN, Warsaw, 1990.
- [34] Z. Osiński, Vibration damping, Publishin House PWN, Warsaw, 1997.
- [35] R. Porębska, S. Mazurkiewicz, Energy dissipation in polymer composites, Journal of Technical - Mechanical 3/3 (2009) 248-248.
- [36] N.J. Jepson, J.T. McGill, J.F. McCabe, Influence of dietary simulating solvents on the viscoelasticity of temporary soft lining materials, Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry 83/1 (2000) 25-31.
- [37] H. Murata, J.F. McCabe, N.J. Jepson, T. Hamada, The influence of immersion solutions on the viscoelasticity of temporary soft lining materials, Dental Materials 12/1 (1996) 19-24.
- [38] J.F. McCabe, R.M. Basker, H. Murata, P.G. Wollwage, The development of a simple test method to characterise the compliance and viscoelasticity of long-term soft lining materials, The European Journal of Prosthodontics and Restorative Dentistry 4/2 (1996) 77-81.
- [39] J.F. McCabe, A polyvinylsiloxane denture soft lining material, Journal of Dentistry 26/5-6 (1998) 521-526.
- [40] F. Tamura, S. Suzuki, Y. Mukai, An evaluation of the viscoelastic characteristics of soft denture liners, Journal of Prosthodontics 11/4 (2002) 270-7.